

- [Dhimmi Jimmy the Jew-hater](#)
- [Wiping Israel from the Map](#)
- [National Forum on Europe Should Recuse Itself from Lisbon](#)
- [Strada, Colchester - Restaurant Review](#)
- [Rousing Music and Mighty Message](#)
- [Issue 175's Comments to Cyberspace](#)
- [Quotes for Issue 175](#)

**Dhimmi Jimmy the Jew-hater**

I get a little weary about the Orwellian double-speak that is so popular in these politically-correct these days. People should be more honest.

WHAT THEY SAY	WHAT THEY MEAN
• I am not a racist, I just think there are too many immigrants	• I am a racist, meaning I think no race is superior to my own <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ I prefer immigrants to be as similar to me as possible</li> </ul>
• I don't hate Jews, I just hate Israel or the current Israel government	• I am an anti-Semite <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Anti-Israelism is just a proxy</li> </ul>
• I don't hate	• I am anti-

America or Americans, I just hate the current (ie Bush) administration	American <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ The hated Bush administration represents the American nation and people, who have elected it</li> </ul>
• I support our troops but not what they're doing (in Iraq, Afghanistan, wherever)	• I don't support our troops <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ How can I, if I abhor what they're doing?</li> </ul>
• I don't hate Islam just the things Islamic extremists do	• I am an Islamophobe <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ They're just doing what Islam tells them to</li> </ul>

People are especially cautious when they dance around the first two of these propositions. Fear of being called a racist is almost guaranteed to shut down any discussion; it's even considered vaguely racist to draw attention to someone else's racism if he happens to be non-white (eg accusing Zimbabwe's Robert Mugabe or Barack Obama's Jeremiah Wright). The true meaning of racist has been lost in the

genocidal fury and criminality of the 20th century, for all it really means is that someone prefers his/her race over another, or put another way it means that in your view no-one else's race is better than your own. It may not be true (and probably isn't!), but you'd be odd if you didn't deep down feel it. Why would anyone think his own race is inferior to another's?

Meanwhile, Hitler's legacy is such that people are terrified to use the word anti-Semitic with its Holocaust overtones, even when the evidence for anti-Semitism is overwhelming. For example, it's bad enough to label Hamas as anti-Semitic even when its founding covenant specifically fosters hatred and murder of Jews, but few want to use the word against anyone else.

But there is one undoubted old anti-Semite wandering round the world sowing Jew-hatred wherever he can. He is the ex American president responsible for allowing, unchallenged, the launch by Iran of Islam's current war on the West. Back in 1979, Iranian "students" (including a certain Mahmoud Ahmadinejad) invaded sovereign American territory and captured 52 American diplomats, the first attack on American soil since Pearl Harbor nearly four decades earlier. Jimmy Carter's response was to launch an ill-prepared ill-fated military rescue mission that ended in conflagrations and eight American deaths in the Iranian desert. I don't really blame him for this, because at least he was trying

to do something that was right, however ham-fisted. However, there is no excuse for following this humiliation by meekly accepting the invasion of the US Embassy in Teheran (legally, embassies are their country's sovereign territory). An ultimatum to the new Khomeini regime, followed if necessary by a military strike at its heart, would have brought the crisis to a swift end - if not Khomeini himself - and laid down an entirely different marker of American intent for the years ahead.

Instead the marker was ... do what you like; America will always be too timid to respond or to fight back. And so it continued, with ever-worsening Islamic attacks on Americans and their interests, until 9/11 finally provoked America to retaliate and call a halt.

Jimmy Carter's insouciance has cost thousands of lives since he left office. You'd like to think that was due merely to his incompetence, ignorance, cowardliness (and perhaps greed, because his Islamist friends - the Saudi royal family, the bin Laden family, the Sheikh Zayad Centre in UAE to name but three groups - contribute mightily to his Carter Center and lifestyle).

But his behaviour on the world stage since leads to a different conclusion that may or may not have had an influence on his negligence in 1979. For example, Iran's terrorist proxy Hezbollah felt emboldened enough to step up its murderous attacks on primarily Jews but also Americans.

- US Embassy bombed, Beirut, 1983 (63 dead)
- Beirut barracks bombed, 1983 (298 dead)
- US Embassy annex bombed, 1984 in Beirut (2 dead)
- William Francis Buckley kidnapped and murdered, 1984 (1 dead)
- Restaurant attacked in Torrejon, Spain, 1984 (18 dead)
- Kuwaiti Airlines flight hijacked, 1984 (4 dead)
- TWA Flight 847 hijacked, 1985 (1 dead)
- Col. William Higgins kidnapped and murdered, 1988 (1 dead)
- Hezbollah announcement of Jews murdered, 1986 (7 dead)
- Israeli Embassy bombed, Argentina, 1992 (and [here](#)) (29 dead)
- Argentine Israelite Mutual Association bombed, Argentina, 1994 (and [here](#)) (86 dead)
  - Argentina says the bombing "was made by the highest authorities of the Islamic Republic of Iran which directed Hezbollah to carry out the attack".
- Khobar Towers bombed, Saudi Arabia, 1996 (20 dead)

- Northern Israel rocketed, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999
- Israel raided, 2000 (3 dead)
- Between 2000 and 2008, 8,000 Qassam rockets plus 2,500 mortars launched against Israel, resulting in 22 Israeli deaths and 433 injuries
- Israel twice raided, summer 2006, precipitating wars against Hezbollah in Lebanon and Hamas in Gaza

Did all that please him? After all, he recently came out with his notorious "Palestine: Peace Not Apartheid" book, outrageously suggesting that Israel's efforts to protect itself from death-cult neighbours amounts to legalised subjugation of citizens purely for reasons of race. This is unsubstantiated calumny of the highest order.

Nowadays he is trying to conduct negotiations with the Hamas Politburo, which is sworn to Israel's annihilation. And of course it is Israel he will blame for any violence in Gaza, criticising it for not talking to Hamas, whilst levelling virtually no disparagement at Hamas who are uniquely responsible for the eight thousand rockets fired from Gaza which provoke the self-defence actions of Israel. Oh, and Israel is also at fault for closing its border to Gaza - which is precisely what Egypt did before and after its own wall was breached last January, and to

this day. But only the Jews get damned for such action.



Mr Carter is appeasing wherever he gets the chance and always has done, since 1979, at least where Muslims rather than Jews are concerned. Other than paying the Jizya tax, his behaviour has all the



attributes of abject dhimmitude - kowtowing to Islamic masters. And if Jew-hatred does not run through every vein and sinew his body, then he makes a very convincing simulation of someone for whom it does.

Jimmy Carter is a disgraceful old anti-Semite. If you're not convinced, have a look at this shocking [video](#).

But, hey, if you think I'm hard on Dhimmi Jimmy, you should hear this recent [rant](#) by Mark Steyn on [Pundit Review Radio](#).

### Wiping Israel from the Map

Last month the Irish Times kindly published a column by me, entitled "CND still on the march to nowhere", which points out that in its fifty years of existence the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament has achieved nothing at all. Moreover, it has always concentrated its ire on the nuclear weapons of the West, while tending to ignore the deadlier menace posed by rogue nuclear powers from the Soviet Union to Iran.

In this context, I quoted President Ahmadinejad's infamous phrase about "wip[ing] Israel off the map". This threat has been widely reported including by [Al Jazeera](#) - no friend of the West - since he uttered it in a speech in Farsi to 4,000 students in October 2005 at an anti-Semitic conference in Tehran entitled "The World without Zionism".

In the letters pages, I am accused of "factual error" in repeating the phrase, and in support of this am referred to

- a [MEMRI translation](#) of the speech and
- a May 2007 article, "Wiped off the Map' - The Rumor of the Century", by an Iranian lady Arash Norouzi, an [artist](#) who also runs a [site](#) which opposes war against Iran.

The objection levelled at me is twofold. One, the original clarion call comes in fact from that noted old pervert the late unlamented Ayatollah Khomeini, whom Mr Ahmadinejad was merely quoting, albeit approvingly, during his speech. Secondly, a more precise translation from Farsi would read

*“The Imam said this regime occupying Jerusalem must vanish from the page of time.”*

The speech goes on to excoriate three other Satanic regimes which - to the president’s approval - have since vanished: those of the Shah, the Soviet Union and Saddam Hussein (though he strangely neglects to thank America for two of the disappearances).

Therefore, Mr Ahmedinajad and the Ayatollah before him are apparently not demanding that Israel be wiped from the map, merely that the existing regime vanish, just as those of the Shah, Gorbachev and Saddam are no more.

I actually have no reason to doubt what Ms Norouzi and MEMRI say, as I don’t speak Farsi. But she is being very naive if she thinks that calling for the Israeli “*regime*” to vanish from the page of time is somehow different from wiping Israel from the map.

Because since the Ayatollah’s day, the Israeli “*regime*” **has** vanished - at least seven times. That’s the wonder of Western liberal democracy - the people can make regimes vanish if they don’t like them. Here are Israel’s regime changes over the past three decades.

Year	Prime Minister	Party
1977-83	Menachem Begin	Likud
1983-84	Yitzhak Shamir	Likud
<i>Regime change!</i>		
1984-86	Shimon Peres	Alignment
<i>Regime change!</i>		
1986-92	Yitzhak Shamir	Likud
<i>Regime change!</i>		
1992-95	Yitzhak Rabin	Labor
1995-96	Shimon Peres	Labor
<i>Regime change!</i>		
1996-99	Benjamin Netanyahu	Likud
<i>Regime change!</i>		
1999-2001	Ehud Barak	Labor
<i>Regime change!</i>		
2001-06	Ariel Sharon	Likud
<i>Regime change!</i>		
2006-	Ehud Olmert	Kadima

Now		
-----	--	--

So when Mr Ahmadinejad repeats his hero’s demand that the “*regime occupying Jerusalem must vanish from the page of time*” he must either be absolutely delighted that this has happened so often, or else he means something else altogether more sinister. Along the lines of “*wiping Israel from the map*” with soon-to-be-acquired nuclear weapons, perhaps. Or, to lift another stirring phrase from the speech: “*This stain of disgrace [ie Israel] will be purged from the center of the Islamic world – and this is attainable*”.

Foolish would be the Jew who believes the totalitarian Iranian theocracy bestows its seal of approval on Israeli parliamentary democracy, as Ms Norouzi and similar apologists would seem to suggest.

### National Forum on Europe Should Recuse Itself from Lisbon

Last month, I reported on the blatant manner in which a so-called “*open discussion*” on the Lisbon Treaty, hosted in Dublin Castle by Ireland’s taxpayer-funded National Forum on Europe, had been rigged to favour the YES side. There had been a very similar - and similarly rigged - meeting three days earlier.

How were these meetings rigged?

- Two pro-Lisbon foreigners (Angela and José) were invited to deliver both the opening and closing addresses (why were they interfering in an Irish

constitutional process, when even Jean Marie Le Pen has decided not to?);

- the “*discussion*” session was carefully choreographed in a way that ensured there were two YES contributions for every NO;
- no opportunity was afforded to the general public at the back of the room (who seemed to be overwhelmingly against the treaty) to contribute anything.

The plot thickens when you have a closer look at the NFE. It’s website proclaims that

*“The National Forum On Europe animates and promotes public debate on the EU, its enlargement, its future and Ireland’s place in it. The Forum’s purpose is not to advocate or promote a particular course of action. It is to be a politically neutral public space within which political views and analyses of all shades can be put forward on the EU, and on Ireland in the EU.”* [my emphasis]

I had difficulty reconciling these high-sound phrases with what I had witnessed at Dublin Castle. So I had a look at the NFE’s very small membership, and suddenly all became clear. For full membership of the Forum is limited to

- members of the Oireachtas (ie lower and upper houses of parliament),
- those nominated by parties represented in the Oireachtas, and



- (Irish) Members of the European Parliament.

There are just 32 appointees of the Oireachtas plus another 13 MEPs. I did a little bit of research to find out where they stood on Lisbon. And what a surprise (not).

Parliamentary Party	Members	Pro Lisbon	Anti Lisbon
<u>Fianna Fail</u>	10	10	
<u>Fine Gael</u>	7	7	
<u>Labour</u>	5	5	
<u>Progrsv Dmcrts</u>	2	2	
<u>Greens</u>	3	3	
<u>Sinn Fein</u>	2		2
Independent TDs	2	1	1
Independent Senators	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Oireachtas	32	28	4
Plus MEPs	<u>13</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>100%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>16%</b>

As you can see, of these 45 members, fully 84% support the Lisbon Treaty and only 16% oppose it.

With such a conspicuously one-sided make-up, it is thus clear that as far as the Lisbon Treaty is concerned, the National Forum on Europe is structurally incapable of fulfilling its objective to be “a *politically neutral public space*”.

So I have written to the Forum, pointing out the dishonesty of the Lisbon “*debate*” it is sponsoring. I have called on it, with immediate effect, to recuse itself from all future involvement in the ongoing debate about the Lisbon Treaty.

I will let you know if it even deigns to reply (which I doubt).

That said, I believe the efforts of the NFE will anyway prove in vain. The weight of argument and a sceptical public opinion seem to moving inexorably in the direction of the NO side. The onus, after all, is not on the NO camp, but on the YES advocates to make the case for change to the fair-minded jury which is the Irish electorate, and they’re making quite a hash of it.

The biggest, most convincing single argument to vote NO remains that the Treaty is (deliberately) utterly unintelligible, a point taken up by many different commentators since I first raised it.

It’s still difficult even to get your hands on a hard copy, undoubtedly because the YES camp don’t want to make it easy for you to read it.

- The NFE certainly won’t give you one.
- Nor will the Electoral Commission.
- Nor will a specially created website, <http://www.lisbontreaty2008.ie>.

They all want you to download a PDF file and if you want a hard copy you have to wear out your own printer churning out its 272 pages, which of course they know you’ll never do.

The Institute of European Affairs is about the only outfit that publically offers you a hard copy not of Lisbon but of the so-called “*Consolidated Version of the Treaties*” - but for €25 while stocks last. This document purportedly combines the Lisbon Treaty with the Treaties of Rome (1957) and of Maastricht (1992), but I wouldn’t trust that this has been done accurately or honestly - otherwise why isn’t the Consolidated Version the one that’s being signed and ratified?

However, to my surprise, I discovered that you can get the Treaty and the 346-page Consolidated version completely free of charge just by phoning Ireland’s Department of Foreign Affairs on +353-1-478.0822, and within a couple of days a clunking two-kilo package arrives on your doorstep. Knowing the lengths most politicians are taking to smuggle the treaty past the electorate, that’s what I call non-joined-up-government.

### Strada, Colchester - Restaurant Review

I have spent many years of my working life toiling and living in faraway places: the

jungles, deserts and oceans of Africa, the Far East, Australia, the Middle East - even Europe. Apart from the obvious advantages of seeing the world, learning about other cultures, enjoying unfamiliar experiences, soaking up the sun etc, this lifestyle has also meant that my wife and I have got to know a lot more people than we might otherwise have done. But most of them remain scattered across the globe, so it can be difficult to maintain friendships at the same intensity as during the particular years when we happened to live in the same place at the same time.

Hence, in order to keep these fading acquaintanceships alive, we periodically find ourselves involved in reunions in places of mutual access. Which is why we recently wound up in England in a town described way back in 77 AD as Camulodunum by the Roman philosopher, natural historian and soldier, Pliny the Elder. Poor old Pliny should have



remained in Blighty, for two years later he was back in the Pompeii area just in time for Mount Vesuvius to erupt and take his life. Nevertheless, thanks to him, Camulodunum retains the distinction of being the first English settlement every recorded in writing. Over the intervening millennia, the name evolved slightly and today it is Colchester.

Located on Colchester's North Hill, Strada is a lively, cheerful Italian trattoria, one of a small chain dotted across England. We were a dozen or so people, who hadn't been together since we all lived in Doha (Qatar) in the 1970s, and the cosy ambience was ideal for fostering chatter about times past and what had happened to whom in the intervening decades.

We all started with the same thing:



shared baskets of very thin shards of wood-oven-toasted bread, spread and infused with garlic, rosemary, pesto and tomato. It was mouth-watering and appetite-inducing. With

some bottles of red Sicilian Torre Solada, a robust red, and a crisp Verdicchio, my personal favourite white from Castelli di lesi on Italy's east coast, plonked on the table, the conversation soon flowed as we broke bread together.

We were less uniform when it came to the main courses. I had probably the most delicious polenta con funghi I've ever tasted - circles of delicately-seasoned polenta, sautéed with sliced porcini and chanterelle mushrooms in a slightly scented sauce of mascarpone, garlic, marjoram and madeira. Further down the table I spotted:

- a sea-bass, skin crisp and golden, lightly pan-fried, stuffed with thyme and fennel;
- a very labour-intensive risotto ai frutti di mare, replete with squid, mussels, clams and fat prawns;

- a humble but luscious spaghetti alla Bolognese made from first principles and fresh ingredients; and

- a couple of grateful blokes slobbering over man-sized T-bone steaks calling themselves costate di manzo.

The banter died down a little as we eager patrons gave our full attention to the generous contents of our large plates and demanded more bottles of wine.

By the time of desert, our appetite for culinary adventure and variety had as it were deserted us. So, having studied the menu in great detail, only two items were ordered, along with a lot of long spoons of the sort usually reserved for supping with the devil. These enabled everyone to dig in, like pigs at a trough, to salvage a tiny experience of the bread-and-butter pudding and the ice-cream with butterscotch sauce. Tasty but not memorable.

We ended with a selection of espressos, Irish coffees and Grappas, probably the most foul liqueur ever made. The bill came to around €50 per head all-in.

There was a great buzz around the place throughout the evening, the interior decor was colourful, the lighting subdued without being dull, and flowers and candles adorned every table. All that was missing was Pliny the Elder.

All of us agreed Strada had been a great choice of venue for such a get-together and at a reasonable price. I would score it about 70% overall.

You can find Strada at 19-20 North Hill, Colchester C01 1DZ, England, tel +44-1206- 542 854.

Or you can tune in your Tom-Tom to 51°53'24.17"N by 0°53'46.81"E.

### Rousing Music and Mighty Message

Want to sound important and impress people? Click here for some rousing music and an uplifting message.

Now you must put yourself in the starring role.

In this URL, <http://www.tallrite-blog.youaremighty.com/>, simply delete tallrite-blog, replace it with your own name and copy the revised URL into your browser. Then, once you've sat back to enjoy your new product, send the URL to your girl-friend, boss or whomever it is you're trying to wow!

### Issue 175's Comments to Cyberspace

The Irish Times gave me a rare opportunity to respond to a reader who had accused me of a "*factual error*" in a column I had written decrying CND. My rebuttal was rebutted a few days later, so I have in turn rebutted the rebuttal of my rebuttal in this week's post entitled, "Wiping Israel from the Map".

- Clinton threat to 'Obliterate' Iran Letter published in the Irish Times on 28th April 2008  
Madam, - It is not a "*factual error*" that Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad has threatened to "*wipe Israel from the map*". This threat has been widely reported, including by Al Jazeera, since he uttered it to 4,000

students on Wednesday October 16th 2005 at a conference in Tehran entitled "*The World without Zionism*". If it were a mistranslation from Farsi, as Coilín Ó hAiseadha suggests, Mr Ahmadinejad has had over two years to make a correction, not to mention those 4,000 students. He has not, and neither have they. - Yours, etc,

It's down to us to halt curse that is the Lisbon Treaty  
*Comment in the Irish Independent on 22nd April 2008, on a column by Kevin Myers*  
Eóin Lynch asks Kevin [Myers], "how can you be against something you have not read?". This is the wrong way round. It is up to those who want the change to put the case for ratification. They have hardly even tried to.

Yet when so-called "*neutral*" taxpayer-funded bodies, such as the National Forum on Europe, choose to facilitate a debate, they make every effort, in best Mugabe fashion (but without the violence), to rig it to favour the Yes side.

Just last week, NFE staged two so-called "*open discussions*" where they invited a couple of unwelcome foreigners (a German frump and some slick

Portuguese guy) to interfere in this Irish constitutional process by instructing the Irish to vote Yes. Not only were the staged "discussion" sessions copper-fastened to ensure there were two pro-Lisbon speakers for every opponent, but the two interloping pro-Lisbon foreigners were, extraordinarily, permitted to give both the opening and the closing addresses.

Hey and where is that other celebrity foreigner, the rabidly anti-Lisbon Jean Marie LePen? Ah yes, much as he would love to get involved, he is staying out of Ireland in order not to be an "external interference in a national debate".

How come this brutish guy has a better grasp of diplomatic niceties than Angela and José?

#### Quotes for Issue 175

Quote: "*You know, over the last several weeks since she fell behind, she's resorted to what's called kitchen sink strategies. She's got the kitchen sink flying, and the china flying, and the buffet is coming at me ... [and] you've always got to measure if somebody throws an elbow at you, and after three or four times of gettin' elbows in the ribs, you know, at what point do you sort of say, 'OK, you*

know, we, we, we've gotta put a stop to that'?"

Barak Obama moans about Hilary lobbing a remarkable miscellany of stuff at him

Quote: "*I've actually filled up my own gas tank.*"

Mr Obama explains how he is just as downtrodden and deprived as America's average voter.

Is there no limit to this unfortunate millionaire's humiliation?

Quote: "*I want the Iranians to know that if I'm the president we will attack Iran. In the next ten years, during which they might foolishly consider launching an attack on Israel, we would be able to totally obliterate them.*"

Hilary Clinton, when asked what she would do if Iran attacked Israel with nuclear weapons.

I trust this will be on foot of a cast-iron unanimous vote from the UN Security Council, supreme authority on all that is moral. She wisely seems to allow ten years for this to occur.

Israel must be much comforted.

Quote: "[I used to work for her when I was a police commander.] *Kate Hoey is bonkers - they make a perfect couple.*"

Brian Paddick, defeated LibDem candidate for London Mayor, comments on the fact that Labour MP Ms Hoey

is to go to work for the new Mayor, BoJo (Boris Johnson)

Quote: "*Don't tell people about your problems because 90% of them don't care and 10% are glad you have them.*"

Ollie le Roux, South African prop-forward and philosopher, who has just retired from Leinster after helping it win the Magners League, a rugby competition between Ireland, Scotland and Wales

Quote: "*The guy is just like Shakespeare - he makes plays.*"

An American commentator sees similarities with the Bard and Manchester United's star striker Cristiano Ronaldo.

*Hattip: Graham in Perth*